

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/945,354	08/31/2001	Agathagelos Kyrlidis	00124CIP (3600-312-01)	1450
33432 7:	590 02/08/2006	EXAMINER		INER
KILYK & BOWERSOX, P.L.L.C.			PHASGE, ARUN S	
400 HOLIDAY COURT SUITE 102 WARRENTON, VA 20186		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1753	

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/945,354	KYRLIDIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Arun S. Phasge	1753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	-					
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-83</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-15 is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10, 16-83</u> is/are rejected.	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10, 16-83</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	` ''					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-10, 16 and 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Funkenbush of record for reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 17-20 and 22-83 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Funkenbush as applied to claims above, and further in view of Belmont of record for reasons of record.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-10, 16-83 stands provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10, 16-49 of copending Application No. 09/654,182, in view of Funkenbush of record for reasons of record.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/28/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants points to the specification to methods of attachment of organic groups in great detail to define the subject matter of the claims, in particular the meaning of the word "attached". This is not persuasive.

The present claims do not recite the means of attaching the organic compounds to the carbon and therefore, there is no claim basis for the narrow reading of the limitations of the specification into the claims. Accordingly, the Funkenbush patent does disclose the claimed invention as shown in the prior Action, since the coating would attach the organic group to the carbon.

The argument that the Funkenbush and Belmont patents are non-analogous art and there is not reason to combine them in untenable. The Funkenbush patent attaches organic groups to carbon particles. The Belmont patent discloses the attachment of other organic groups to carbon particles, where the modified particles can be used for the same processes as the carbon particles.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of the Funkenbush patent with the teachings of the Belmont patent,

Art Unit: 1753

because the Belmont patent teaches the use of other organic compounds that can be attached to carbon to obtain modified carbon that can be used for the same processes that the carbon particles are used for.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 1753

(571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arun S. Phasge Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1753